# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

Marc & Tammy Schuler,	: Civil Action No.:
Plaintiffs,	: :
v.	:
Enhanced Recovery Company, LLC,	: : COMPLAINT : JURY TRIAL DEMANDEI
Defendant.	: : :

For this Complaint, the Plaintiffs, Marc & Tammy Schuler, by undersigned counsel, state as follows:

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), North Carolina Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, and the invasions of Plaintiffs' personal privacy by the Defendant and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
  - 2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendant transacts business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

## **PARTIES**

- 4. The Plaintiffs, Marc & Tammy Schuler ("Plaintiffs"), are adult individuals residing in Asheville, North Carolina, and are "consumers" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 5. Defendant Enhanced Recovery Company, LLC ("Enhanced"), is a Florida business entity with an address of 8014 Bayberry Road, Jacksonville, Florida 32256, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

#### **ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS**

#### A. The Debt

- 6. The Plaintiffs allegedly incurred a financial obligation (the "Debt") to AT&T (the "Creditor").
- 7. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 8. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Enhanced for collection, or Enhanced was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 9. The Defendant attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

## B. Enhanced Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

- 10. Within the last year, Enhanced placed three (3) calls a day to Plaintiffs' residential telephone in an attempt to collect the Debt.
- 11. When Enhanced reached Plaintiff Mark Schuler, (herein, "Mr. Schuler"), Mr. Schuler advised Enhanced they could not afford to pay the Debt and requested it stop calling.
  - 12. Enhanced threatened to garnish Plaintiff Schuler's wages.
  - 13. Plaintiff Schuler informed Enhanced he received Social Security.
  - 14. Enhanced threatened to "go after" his Social Security payments.
- 15. On several occasions, Enhanced reached Plaintiff Tammy Schuler (herein, "Mrs. Schuler").
- 16. Mrs. Schuler also informed Enhanced that they could not afford to pay the Debt and requested that they stop calling.
- 17. Despite receiving repeated notice from Mr. and Mrs. Schuler that they could not repay the Debt, Enhanced continues to call the Schulers 2-3 times a day.
- 18. Enhanced used rude language when speaking with Plaintiffs, often yelling at them in an aggressive manner.

#### C. Plaintiffs Suffered Actual Damages

- 19. The Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.
- 20. As a direct consequence of the Defendant's acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiffs suffered and continue to suffer from humiliation and anger.

# <u>COUNT I</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.</u>

- 21. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 22. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d in that Defendant engaged in behavior the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse the Plaintiffs in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 23. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(2) in that Defendant used abusive language when speaking with Plaintiffs.
- 24. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) in that Defendant caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiffs in telephone conversations, with the intent to annoy and harass.
- 25. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2) in that Defendant misrepresented the character, amount and legal status of the Debt.
- 26. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(4) in that Defendant threatened the Plaintiffs with garnishment if the Debt was not paid.
- 27. The Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) in that Defendant employed false and deceptive means to collect a debt.
- 28. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendant constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
  - 29. The Plaintiffs are entitled to damages as a result of Defendant's violations.

# <u>COUNT II</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE NORTH CAROLINA FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70, et seq.</u>

- 30. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
  - 31. The Plaintiffs are "persons" as the term is defined by N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-6(4).
- 32. The Defendant is a "collection agency" as the term is defined by N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-15, and is duly licensed collect debt in the state of North Carolina pursuant to N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-1.
- 33. The Defendant represented that nonpayment of the Debt might result in the seizure, garnishment, attachment, or sale of any property or wages, in violation of N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-95(6).
- 34. The Defendant threatened to take any action not in fact taken in the usual course of business, in violation of N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-95(7).
- 35. The Defendant used profane or obscene language, or language that would ordinarily abuse the typical hearer or reader, in violation of N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-100(1).
- 36. The Defendant caused a telephone to ring or engaged the Plaintiffs in telephone conversation with such frequency as to be unreasonable and to constitute a harassment to the Plaintiffs under the circumstances, in violation of N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-100(3).
- 37. The Defendant falsely represented the character, extent, or amount of the Debt or its status in any legal proceeding in violation of N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-110(4)

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

**WHEREFORE**, the Plaintiffs pray that judgment be entered against Defendant:

- 1. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) against Defendant;
- 2. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A) against Defendant;
- 3. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C.§ 1692k(a)(3) against Defendant;
- 4. Actual damages pursuant to N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-130(a);
- 5. Statutory damages of \$4,000.00 for each violation pursuant to N.C. Gen.Stat. § 58-70-130(b);
- 6. Actual damages from Defendant for the all damages including emotional distress suffered as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent FDCPA and North Carolina state law violations in an amount to be determined at trial for the Plaintiffs; and
- 7. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

#### TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: January 26, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

By <u>/s/ Stacie Watson</u>

Stacie Watson, Esq. (Bar No.: 23890)

Law Office of Stacie Watson

P.O. Box 1412

Apex, North Carolina 27502 Telephone: (919) 522-6128

Facsimile: (919) 439-5308

Email: swatsonattorney@bellsouth.net

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

# Of Counsel To:

LEMBERG & ASSOCIATES L.L.C. 1100 Summer Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Stamford, CT 06905 Telephone: (203) 653-2250

Facsimile: (203) 653-3424